TIER 3 CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION

ArDOT JOB NUMBER BR0406
FAP NUMBER STPB-0004(78)
OSAGE CREEK STR. & APPRS. NO. 2 (S)
CO. RD. 1785
BENTON COUNTY

Submitted Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)
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U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
And the
Arkansas Department of Transportation

October 2019

Date of Approval 10/15/2019
Randal Looney
Environmental Coordinator
Federal Highway Administration
The Environmental Division reviewed the referenced project and has determined it falls within the definition of the Tier 3 Categorical Exclusion as defined by the ARDOT/FHWA Memorandum of Agreement on the processing of Categorical Exclusions. The following information is included for your review and, if acceptable, approval as the environmental documentation for this project.

The purpose of this project is to replace the Osage Creek Bridge (01785) on Benton County Road 1785. Total length of the project is 0.34 mile. A project location map is attached.

The existing roadway consists of two 10’ wide paved travel lanes with 4’ wide unpaved shoulders. The existing right of way width is approximately 90’. The existing bridge is 25.5’ wide by 452’ long.

The proposed roadway will consist of two 11’ wide paved travel lanes with 5’ wide paved shoulders. The proposed bridge will be 31.2’ wide by 502.2’ long. The proposed right of way width will average 165’. The project will require 4.4 acres of additional right of way. Of this acreage, approximately 1.5 acres is Prime Farmland. Form NRCS-CPA-106 is attached.

Design data for this project is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Year</th>
<th>Average Daily Traffic</th>
<th>Percent Trucks</th>
<th>Design Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2038</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40 mph</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project will not involve relocations, underground storage tanks, hazardous materials, any environmental justice issues, or cultural resources. The State Historic Preservation Officer’s clearance is attached. No public involvement will be offered for the project.

The official species list obtained through the US Fish and Wildlife Service’s (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation website lists gray bat (*Myotis grisescens*), northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*), Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*), Ozark big-eared bat (*Corynorhinus townsendii ingens*), Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*), Ozark cavefish (*Amblyopsis rosae*), Neosho Mucket (*Lampsilis rafinesqueana*), Rabbitsfoot (*Theliderma cylindrica*), and Missouri bladderpod (*Physaria filiformis*) as species potentially occurring in the proposed project area.
Due the lack of suitable habitat and distance to known species locations, it has been determined that the project will have “no effect” on Piping Plover and Missouri bladderpod. Programmatic consultation with USFWS through IPaC provided a “may affect, not likely to adversely affect” determination for the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat. A winter clearing restriction will be placed in the job contract prohibiting the clearing of trees between March 15 and November 14.

The Ozark big-eared bat, gray bat, and the Ozark cavefish are known from Logan Cave, approximately 1 mile north of the project area; however, the project lies outside its recharge area. The nearest known population of Neosho Mucket and Rabbitsfoot is approximately four miles downstream. The project area was surveyed and no live or fresh dead mussels were found. A Water Pollution Control Special Provision will be added to the job contract. Due to the implementation of BMPs, winter clearing, time-of-day restrictions, and the negative mussel survey, it has been determined that this project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the aforementioned species. The USFWS concurred on October 7, 2019.

The project will have a discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States associated with the bridge replacement; therefore, a Section 404 permit will be required. The project should be authorized by Nationwide Permit 14 for Linear Transportation Projects as defined in Federal Register 82(4): 1860-2008. Due to the proximity of the Illinois River (an Extraordinary Resource Water) to the project area, a Water Pollution Control Special Provision and a Vegetated Buffer Special Provision will be provided in the contract.

Benton County participates in the National Flood Insurance Program. The project is located in Zone A, Special Flood Hazard Area. The final project design will be reviewed to confirm that the design is adequate and that the potential risk to life and property are minimized. Adjacent properties should not be impacted nor have a greater flood risk than existed before project construction. None of the encroachments will constitute a substantial floodplain encroachment or a risk to property or life.

This project has been determined to generate minimal air quality impacts for Clean Air Act criteria pollutants and has not been linked with any special mobile source air toxic (MSAT) concerns. As such, this project will not result in changes in traffic volumes, vehicle mix, basic project location, or any other factor that would cause a meaningful increase in MSAT impacts of the project from that of the no-build alternative.

Based on the ARDOT noise policy, a noise analysis is not required for this project. Replacing the bridge will not involve adding capacity or exposing noise sensitive land uses to a new traffic noise source. In compliance with federal guidelines, local authorities will not require notification.
No other adverse environmental impacts were identified. The checklist used to verify consideration of potential environmental impacts is attached.
April 11, 2019

Mr. John Fleming
Division Head
Environmental Division
Arkansas State Highway and Transportation Department
P.O. Box 2261
Little Rock, AR 72203-2261

RE: Benton County — General
Section 106 Review — FHWA
Response Letter: PIF for Bridge 01785 Replacement
ARDOT Job Number BR0406
AHPP Tracking Number 99549.01

Dear Mr. Fleming:

The staff of the Arkansas Historic Preservation Program (AHPP) reviewed the April 9, 2019 Project identification Form (PIF) regarding the proposed replacement of the Old Highway 68 Bridge 01785 over Osage Creek in Benton County. The area of potential effect (APE) is approximately 4.4 acres (1.8 hectares).

Based on the provided information detailing the methodology and results of the cultural resources investigation, we concur with the finding of No Historic Properties Affected pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.4(d)(1). We request the Arkansas Department of Transportation contact the AHPP if the project parameters change or in the event of an inadvertent discovery pursuant to Section 107.10(b) and (c)(2) of the Arkansas Highway and Transportation Department Standard Specifications for Highway Construction (2014).

Tribes that have expressed an interest in the area include the Cherokee Nation (Ms. Elizabeth Toombs), the Muscogee (Creek) Nation (Ms. Coraia Lowe-Zepeda), the Osage Nation (Dr. Andrea Hunter), the Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma (Ms. Tonya Tipton), and the United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians (Ms. Erin Thompson and Charlotte Wolfe). We recommend consultation in accordance with 36 CFR § 800.2(c)(2).

Thank you for the opportunity to review this undertaking. Please refer to the AHPP Tracking Number listed above in all correspondence. If you have any questions, please call Eric Mills of my staff at 501-324-9784 or email eric.mills@arkansas.gov.

Sincerely,

Scott Kaufman
Director, AHPP

cc: Mr. Randall Looney, Federal Highway Administration
Dr. Ann Early, Arkansas Archeological Survey
# Farmland Conversion Impact Rating

## For Corridor Type Projects

**PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)**

**Job BR0406**

1. **Name of Project**: Osage Creek Str. & Apprs. No. 2 (S)
2. **Type of Project**: Bridge Replacement
3. **Date of Land Evaluation Request**: 9/16/2019
4. **Federal Agency Involved**: FHWA
5. **County and State**: Benton County, Arkansas

## PART II (To be completed by NRCS)

1. **Date Request Received by NRCS**
2. **Person Completing Form**

### Assessment Criteria

These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)

1. **Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland?**
   - YES
   - NO
2. **Major Crop(s)**
3. **Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction**
   - Acres: %
4. **Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA**
   - Acres: %
5. **Name Of Land Evaluation System Used**
6. **Name of Local Site Assessment System**
7. **Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS**

## PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)

### Alternative Corridor For Segment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor A</th>
<th>Corridor B</th>
<th>Corridor C</th>
<th>Corridor D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information

| A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly | 4.4 |
| B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services | 6.8 |
| C. Total Acres In Corridor | |

## PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)

**Assessment Criteria**

These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter in Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection Provided By State And Local Government</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency)

**Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL POINTS** (Total of above 2 lines)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Corridor Selected:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjacent to existing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 acres of Prime Farmland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Date Of Selection:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Was A Local Site Assessment Used?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason For Selection:**

Best location for new bridge.

---

**Signature of Person Completing this Part:**

**John Baber**

**DATE:**

September 18, 2019

**NOTE:** Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor
Mr. John Fleming  
c/o Mickey Matthews  
Arkansas Department of Transportation  
10324 Interstate 30  
Little Rock, Arkansas  72209

Dear Mr. Fleming:

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has reviewed your re-initiation request, assessment, and determinations for Arkansas Department of Transportation (ARDoT) Job Number BR0406 Osage Creek Str. & Apprs. No. 2 (S), Old Hwy. 68 Bridge replacement over Osage Creek in Benton County, Arkansas. This action may rely on the revised February 5, 2018, Programmatic Biological Opinion (BO) for federally funded or approved transportation projects that may affect the Indiana Bat (*Myotis sodalis*) and/or Northern Long-eared Bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*).

We received your re-initiation request and the associated Project Submittal Form on October 2, 2019.

The project was described and assessed as follows (abbreviated):

The Arkansa Department of Transportation is proposing to replace the Old Hwy. 68 Bridge over Osage Creek. The project should have no affect on the Piping Plover (*Charadrius melodus*) and Missouri Bladderpod (*Physaria filiformis*) due to limited scope of the project, lack of habitat, and distance to known populations.

The Ozark Big-eared Bat (*Corynorhinus townsendii ingens*), Gray Bat (*Myotis griseescens*), and the Ozark Cavefish (*Amblyopsis rosae*) are known from Logan Cave approximately one mile to the north. However, the project is outside the recharge area. The nearest known population of Neosho Mucket (*Lampsilis rafinesqueana*) and Rabbitsfoot (*Theliderma cylindrica*) is approximately four miles downstream. The project area was surveyed and no live or fresh dead mussels were found. Implementation of Best Management Practices and the addition of the water pollution control special provision to the contract will minimize potential effects to the aquatic species. ARDoT has determined the project may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect, the following species; Gray Bat, Ozark Big-eared Bat, Ozark Cavefish, Neosho Mucket, and Rabbitsfoot.

FHWA, FRA, FTA Programmatic Biological Opinion for Transportation Projects within the Range of the Indiana Bat and Northern Long-eared Bat (PBO)
determination key within IPaC provided a determination of may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect (NLAA) for the endangered Indiana Bat (*Myotis sodalis*) and/or the threatened Northern Long-eared Bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*).

The Service has received your concurrence verification letter and request to verify that the Proposed Action may rely on the concurrence provided in the revised February 5, 2018, FHWA, FRA, FTA Programmatic Biological Opinion for Transportation Projects within the Range of the Indiana Bat and Northern Long-eared Bat (PBO) to satisfy requirements under Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA) (87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C 1531 et seq.). Based on the information you provided, you have determined that the Proposed Action is within the scope and adheres to the criteria of the PBO, including the adoption of applicable avoidance and minimization measures, may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect (NLAA) Indiana Bat and Northern Long-eared Bat. The Service concurs that this action may rely on the PBO.

Please keep in mind that you must report any departures from the plans submitted; results of any surveys conducted; or any dead, injured, or sick listed bats that are found to this office. If this project is not completed within one year of this letter, you must update your determination and resubmit the required information.

Furthermore, due to the limited size of the area being affected along an existing bridge crossing, roadway and adjacent new right-of-way, the distance to known species locations or hibernacula, the implementation of BMPs, winter clearing and time of day restrictions, negative listed mussel survey results, mitigation provided, and the standard provisions for stream sediment control and water quality conservation measures, the Service agrees with your determinations of “not likely to adversely affect” for Ozark Cavefish, Neosho Mucket, Rabbitsfoot, Ozark Big-eared Bat, and Gray Bat. The Service also agrees with your assessment for all other listed species identified. This concurrence concludes your ESA Section 7 responsibilities relative to these species for this action.

For further assistance or if you have any questions, please contact Lindsey Lewis at (501) 513-4489 or lindsey_lewis@fws.gov.

Sincerely,

Melvin L. Tobin
Field Supervisor

cc: Project File
Read File
Filename: C:\Users\lilewis\Documents\PROJECTS\FY2020\ARDOT\ArDOT Job BR0406\AFO Letter -Job BR0406 - Osage Creek Str. Apprs. No. 2 (S) - Comments.docx
In Reply Refer To: Consultation Code: 04ER1000-2019-SLI-1206
Event Code: 04ER1000-2020-E-00022
Project Name: BR0406 - Osage Creek Str. & Apprs. No. 2 (S)

Subject: Updated list of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project location, and/or may be affected by your proposed project

To Whom It May Concern:

The enclosed species list identifies endangered, threatened, proposed, and candidate species, as well as proposed and final designated critical habitat, that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project and/or may be affected by your proposed project. The species list fulfills the requirements of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). This letter only provides an official species list and technical assistance; if you determine that listed species and/or designated critical habitat may be affected in any way by the proposed project, even if the effect is wholly beneficial, consultation with the Service will be necessary.

If you determine that this project will have no effect on listed species and their habitat in any way, then you have completed Section 7 consultation with the Service and may use this letter in your project file or application.

The purpose of the Act is to provide a means whereby threatened and endangered species and the ecosystems upon which they depend may be conserved. Under sections 7(a)(1) and 7(a)(2) of the Act and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 402 et seq.), federal agencies are required to utilize their authorities to carry out programs for the conservation of threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may affect threatened and endangered species and/or designated critical habitat. More information on the regulations and procedures for section 7 consultation, including the role of permit or license applicants, can be found on our website.

Please visit our website at http://www.fws.gov/arkansas-es/IPaC/home.html for species-specific guidance to avoid and minimize adverse effects to federally endangered.
threatened, proposed, and candidate species. Our web site also contains additional information on species life history and habitat requirements that may be useful in project planning.

If your project involves in-stream construction activities, oil and natural gas infrastructure, road construction, transmission lines, or communication towers, please review our project specific guidance at http://www.fws.gov/arkansas-es/IPaC/ProjSpec.html.

The karst region of Arkansas is a unique region that covers the northern third of Arkansas and we have specific guidance to conserve sensitive cave-obligate and bat species. Please visit http://www.fws.gov/arkansas-es/IPaC/Karst.html to determine if your project occurs in the karst region and to view karst specific-guidance. Proper implementation and maintenance of best management practices specified in these guidance documents is necessary to avoid adverse effects to federally protected species and often avoids the more lengthy formal consultation process.

If your species list includes any mussels, Northern Long-eared Bat, Indiana Bat, Yellowcheek Darter, Red-cockaded Woodpecker, or American Burying Beetle, your project may require a presence/absence and/or habitat survey prior to commencing project activities. Please check the appropriate species-specific guidance on our website to determine if your project requires a survey. We strongly recommend that you contact the appropriate staff species lead biologist (see office directory or species page) prior to conducting presence/absence surveys to ensure the appropriate level of effort and methodology.

Under the ESA, it is the responsibility of the Federal action agency or its designated representative to determine if a proposed action "may affect" endangered, threatened, or proposed species, or designated critical habitat, and if so, to consult with the Service further. Similarly, it is the responsibility of the Federal action agency or project proponent, not the Service, to make “no effect” determinations. If you determine that your proposed action will have "no effect" on threatened or endangered species or their respective critical habitat, you do not need to seek concurrence with the Service. Nevertheless, it is a violation of Federal law to harm or harass any federally-listed threatened or endangered fish or wildlife species without the appropriate permit.

Through the consultation process, we will analyze information contained in a biological assessment that you provide. If your proposed action is associated with Federal funding or permitting, consultation will occur with the Federal agency under section 7(a)(2) of the ESA. Otherwise, an incidental take permit pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the ESA (also known as a habitat conservation plan) is necessary to harm or harass federally listed threatened or endangered fish or wildlife species. In either case, there is no mechanism for authorizing incidental take “after-the-fact.” For more information regarding formal consultation and HCPs, please see the Service's Consultation Handbook and Habitat Conservation Plans at www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/index.html#consultations.

New information based on updated surveys, changes in the abundance and distribution of species, changed habitat conditions, or other factors could change this list. Please feel free to contact us if you need more current information or assistance regarding the potential impacts to
federally proposed, listed, and candidate species and federally designated and proposed critical habitat. Please note that under 50 CFR 402.12(e) of the regulations implementing section 7 of the Act, the accuracy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification can be completed formally or informally as desired. The Service recommends that verification be completed by visiting the ECOS-IPaC website at regular intervals during project planning and implementation for updates to species lists and information. An updated list may be requested through the ECOS-IPaC system by completing the same process used to receive the enclosed list.

We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. The Service encourages Federal agencies to include conservation of threatened and endangered species into their project planning to further the purposes of the Act. Please include the Consultation Tracking Number in the header of this letter with any request for consultation or correspondence about your project that you submit to our office.

Attachment(s):

- Official Species List
Official Species List

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action".

This species list is provided by:

Arkansas Ecological Services Field Office
110 South Amity Suite 300
Conway, AR 72032-8975
(501) 513-4470
**Project Summary**

Consultation Code: 04ER1000-2019-SLI-1206

Event Code: 04ER1000-2020-E-00022

Project Name: BR0406 - Osage Creek Str. & Apprs. No. 2 (S)

Project Type: TRANSPORTATION

Project Description: Replace the bridge over Osage Creek on existing alignment.

Project Location:
Approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: https://www.google.com/maps/place/36.18014229109804N94.40060913562775W

Counties: Benton, AR
Endangered Species Act Species

There is a total of 10 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on this species list.

Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species.

IPaC does not display listed species or critical habitats under the sole jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries, as USFWS does not have the authority to speak on behalf of NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

See the "Critical habitats" section below for those critical habitats that lie wholly or partially within your project area under this office's jurisdiction. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

1. NOAA Fisheries, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

Mammals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gray Bat <em>Myotis grisescens</em></td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No critical habitat has been designated for this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6329">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6329</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Bat <em>Myotis sodalis</em></td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location is outside the critical habitat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5949">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5949</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Long-eared Bat <em>Myotis septentrionalis</em></td>
<td>Threatened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No critical habitat has been designated for this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozark Big-eared Bat <em>Corynorhinus (=Plecotus) townsendii ingens</em></td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No critical habitat has been designated for this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/7245">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/7245</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Birds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piping Plover <em>Charadrius melodus</em></td>
<td>Threatened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population: [Atlantic Coast and Northern Great Plains populations] - Wherever found, except those areas where listed as endangered. There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location is outside the critical habitat. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6039">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6039</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Fishes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ozark Cavefish <em>Amblyopsis rosea</em></td>
<td>Threatened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6490">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6490</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Clams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neosho Mucket <em>Lampsilis rafinesqueana</em></td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location is outside the critical habitat. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3788">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3788</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbitsfoot <em>Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica</em></td>
<td>Threatened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is final critical habitat for this species. Your location is outside the critical habitat. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5165">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5165</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Crustaceans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benton County Cave Crayfish <em>Cambarus aculabrum</em></td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5011">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5011</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Flowering Plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Bladderpod <em>Physaria filiformis</em></td>
<td>Threatened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No critical habitat has been designated for this species. Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5361">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5361</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Critical habitats

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA UNDER THIS OFFICE'S JURISDICTION.
In Reply Refer To: Consultation Code: 04ER1000-2019-I-1206
Event Code: 04ER1000-2020-E-00028
Project Name: BR0406 - Osage Creek Str. & Apprs. No. 2 (S)

Subject: Concurrence verification letter for the 'BR0406 - Osage Creek Str. & Apprs. No. 2 (S)' project under the revised February 5, 2018, FHWA, FRA, FTA Programmatic Biological Opinion for Transportation Projects within the Range of the Indiana Bat and Northern Long-eared Bat.

To whom it may concern:

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has received your request dated to verify that the BR0406 - Osage Creek Str. & Apprs. No. 2 (S) (Proposed Action) may rely on the concurrence provided in the February 5, 2018, FHWA, FRA, FTA Programmatic Biological Opinion for Transportation Projects within the Range of the Indiana Bat and Northern Long-eared Bat (PBO) to satisfy requirements under Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA) (87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C 1531 et seq.).

Based on the information you provided (Project Description shown below), you have determined that the Proposed Action is within the scope and adheres to the criteria of the PBO, including the adoption of applicable avoidance and minimization measures, may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect (NLAA) the endangered Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis) and/or the threatened Northern long-eared bat (Myotis septentrionalis).

The Service has 14 calendar days to notify the lead Federal action agency or designated non-federal representative if we determine that the Proposed Action does not meet the criteria for a NLAA determination under the PBO. If we do not notify the lead Federal action agency or designated non-federal representative within that timeframe, you may proceed with the Proposed Action under the terms of the NLAA concurrence provided in the PBO. This verification period allows Service Field Offices to apply local knowledge to implementation of the PBO, as we may identify a small subset of actions having impacts that were unanticipated. In such instances, Service Field Offices may request additional information that is necessary to verify inclusion of the proposed action under the PBO.
For Proposed Actions that include bridge/structure removal, replacement, and/or maintenance activities: If your initial bridge/structure assessments failed to detect Indiana bats, but you later detect bats during construction, please submit the Post Assessment Discovery of Bats at Bridge/Structure Form (User Guide Appendix E) to this Service Office. In these instances, potential incidental take of Indiana bats may be exempted provided that the take is reported to the Service.

If the Proposed Action is modified, or new information reveals that it may affect the Indiana bat and/or Northern long-eared bat in a manner or to an extent not considered in the PBO, further review to conclude the requirements of ESA Section 7(a)(2) may be required. If the Proposed Action may affect any other federally-listed or proposed species, and/or any designated critical habitat, additional consultation is required. If the proposed action has the potential to take bald or golden eagles, additional coordination with the Service under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act may also be required. In either of these circumstances, please contact this Service Office.

The following species may occur in your project area and are not covered by this determination:

- Benton County Cave Crayfish, *Cambarus aculabrum* (Endangered)
- Gray Bat, *Myotis grisescens* (Endangered)
- Missouri Bladderpod, *Physaria filiformis* (Threatened)
- Neosho Mucket, *Lampsilis rafinesqueana* (Endangered)
- Ozark Big-eared Bat, *Corynorhinus (=Plecotus) townsendii ingens* (Endangered)
- Ozark Cavefish, *Amblyopsis roae* (Threatened)
- Piping Plover, *Charadrius melodus* (Threatened)
- Rabbitsfoot, *Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica* (Threatened)
**Project Description**

The following project name and description was collected in IPaC as part of the endangered species review process.

**Name**

BR0406 - Osage Creek Str. & Apprs. No. 2 (S)

**Description**

Replace the bridge over Osage Creek on existing alignment.
Determination Key Result

Based on your answers provided, this project(s) may affect, but is not likely to adversely affect the endangered Indiana bat and/or the threatened Northern long-eared bat. Therefore, consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service pursuant to Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (ESA) (87 Stat. 884, as amended 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) is required. However, also based on your answers provided, this project may rely on the concurrence provided in the revised February 5, 2018, FHWA, FRA, FTA Programmatic Biological Opinion for Transportation Projects within the Range of the Indiana Bat and Northern Long-eared Bat.

Qualification Interview

1. Is the project within the range of the Indiana bat\(^1\)?

   \(^1\) See [Indiana bat species profile](#)
   
   **Automatically answered**
   
   Yes

2. Is the project within the range of the Northern long-eared bat\(^1\)?

   \(^1\) See [Northern long-eared bat species profile](#)
   
   **Automatically answered**
   
   Yes

3. Which Federal Agency is the lead for the action?
   
   *A) Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)*

4. Are all project activities limited to non-construction\(^1\) activities only? (examples of non-construction activities include: bridge/abandoned structure assessments, surveys, planning and technical studies, property inspections, and property sales)

   \(^1\) Construction refers to activities involving ground disturbance, percussive noise, and/or lighting.
   
   **No**

5. Does the project include any activities that are greater than 300 feet from existing road/rail surfaces\(^1\)?

   \(^1\) Road surface is defined as the actively used [e.g. motorized vehicles] driving surface and shoulders [may be pavement, gravel, etc.] and rail surface is defined as the edge of the actively used rail ballast.
   
   **No**
6. Does the project include *any* activities **within** 0.5 miles of a known Indiana bat and/or NLEB hibernaculum[^1]?

[^1] For the purpose of this consultation, a hibernaculum is a site, most often a cave or mine, where bats hibernate during the winter (see suitable habitat), but could also include bridges and structures if bats are found to be hibernating there during the winter.

*No*

7. Is the project located **within** a karst area?

*Yes*

8. Will the project include *any* type of activity that could impact a **known** hibernaculum[^1], or impact a karst feature (e.g., sinkhole, losing stream, or spring) that could result in effects to a **known** hibernaculum?

[^1] For the purpose of this consultation, a hibernaculum is a site, most often a cave or mine, where bats hibernate during the winter (see suitable habitat), but could also include bridges and structures if bats are found to be hibernating there during the winter.

*No*

9. Is there *any* suitable[^1] summer habitat for Indiana Bat or NLEB **within** the project action area[^2]? (includes any trees suitable for maternity, roosting, foraging, or travelling habitat)

[^1] See the Service’s [summer survey guidance](#) for our current definitions of suitable habitat.

[^2] The action area is defined as all areas to be affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action and not merely the immediate area involved in the action (50 CFR Section 402.02). Further clarification is provided by the [national consultation FAQs](#).

*Yes*

10. Will the project remove *any* suitable summer habitat[^1] and/or remove/trim any existing trees **within** suitable summer habitat?

[^1] See the Service’s [summer survey guidance](#) for our current definitions of suitable habitat.

*Yes*

11. Will the project clear more than 20 acres of suitable habitat per 5-mile section of road/rail?

*No*
12. Have presence/probable absence (P/A) summer surveys\(^1\)\(^2\) been conducted\(^3\)\(^4\) within the suitable habitat located within your project action area?

\(^1\) See the Service's [summer survey guidance](#) for our current definitions of suitable habitat.

\(^2\) Presence/probable absence summer surveys conducted within the fall swarming/spring emergence home range of a documented Indiana bat hibernaculum (contact local Service Field Office for appropriate distance from hibernacula) that result in a negative finding requires additional consultation with the local Service Field Office to determine if clearing of forested habitat is appropriate and/or if seasonal clearing restrictions are needed to avoid and minimize potential adverse effects on fall swarming and spring emerging Indiana bats.

\(^3\) For projects within the range of either the Indiana bat or NLEB in which suitable habitat is present, and no bat surveys have been conducted, the transportation agency will assume presence of the appropriate species. This assumption of presence should be based upon the presence of suitable habitat and the capability of bats to occupy it because of their mobility.

\(^4\) Negative presence/probable absence survey results obtained using the [summer survey guidance](#) are valid for a minimum of two years from the completion of the survey unless new information (e.g., other nearby surveys) suggest otherwise.

No

13. Does the project include activities within documented Indiana bat habitat\(^1\)\(^2\)?

\(^1\) Documented roosting or foraging habitat – for the purposes of this consultation, we are considering documented habitat as that where Indiana bats and/or NLEB have actually been captured and tracked using (1) radio telemetry to roosts; (2) radio telemetry biangulation/triangulation to estimate foraging areas; or (3) foraging areas with repeated use documented using acoustics. Documented roosting habitat is also considered as suitable summer habitat within 0.25 miles of documented roosts.)

\(^2\) For the purposes of this key, we are considering documented corridors as that where Indiana bats and/or NLEB have actually been captured and tracked to using (1) radio telemetry; or (2) treed corridors located directly between documented roosting and foraging habitat.

No

14. Will the removal or trimming of habitat or trees occur within suitable but undocumented Indiana bat roosting/foraging habitat or travel corridors?

Yes
15. What time of year will the removal or trimming of habitat or trees within suitable but undocumented Indiana bat roosting/foraging habitat or travel corridors occur\(^1\)?

\(^1\) Coordinate with the local Service Field Office for appropriate dates.

*B) During the inactive season*

16. Does the project include activities within documented NLEB habitat\(^1\)[\(^2\)]?*

\(^1\) Documented roosting or foraging habitat – for the purposes of this consultation, we are considering documented habitat as that where Indiana bats and/or NLEB have actually been captured and tracked using (1) radio telemetry to roosts; (2) radio telemetry biangulation/triangulation to estimate foraging areas; or (3) foraging areas with repeated use documented using acoustics. Documented roosting habitat is also considered as suitable summer habitat within 0.25 miles of documented roosts.)

\(^2\) For the purposes of this key, we are considering documented corridors as that where Indiana bats and/or NLEB have actually been captured and tracked to using (1) radio telemetry; or (2) treed corridors located directly between documented roosting and foraging habitat.

*No*

17. Will the removal or trimming of habitat or trees occur within suitable but undocumented NLEB roosting/foraging habitat or travel corridors?

*Yes*

18. What time of year will the removal or trimming of habitat or trees within suitable but undocumented NLEB roosting/foraging habitat or travel corridors occur?

*B) During the inactive season*

19. Will any tree trimming or removal occur within 100 feet of existing road/rail surfaces?

*Yes*

20. Will the tree removal alter any documented Indiana bat or NLEB roosts and/or alter any surrounding summer habitat within 0.25 mile of a documented roost?

*No*

21. Will any tree trimming or removal occur between 100-300 feet of existing road/rail surfaces?

*No*

22. Are all trees that are being removed clearly demarcated?

*Yes*
23. Will the removal of habitat or the removal/trimming of trees include installing new or replacing existing **permanent** lighting?
   
   *No*

24. Does the project include wetland or stream protection activities associated with compensatory wetland mitigation?
   
   *No*

25. Does the project include slash pile burning?
   
   *No*

26. Does the project include *any* bridge removal, replacement, and/or maintenance activities (e.g., any bridge repair, retrofit, maintenance, and/or rehabilitation work)?
   
   *Yes*

27. Is there *any* suitable habitat\(^1\) for Indiana bat or NLEB **within** 1,000 feet of the bridge? (includes any trees suitable for maternity, roosting, foraging, or travelling habitat)
   
   \(^1\) See the Service’s current [summer survey guidance](https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/project/Z4ZWFGZ6KZBZZI22UX4ZRQWCSJ/index.html) for our current definitions of suitable habitat.
   
   *Yes*

28. Has a bridge assessment\(^1\) been conducted **within** the last 24 months\(^2\) to determine if the bridge is being used by bats?
   
   \(^1\) See [User Guide Appendix D](https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/project/Z4ZWFGZ6KZBZZI22UX4ZRQWCSJ/index.html) for bridge/structure assessment guidance

   \(^2\) Assessments must be completed no more than 2 years prior to conducting any work below the deck surface on all bridges that meet the physical characteristics described in the Programmatic Consultation, regardless of whether assessments have been conducted in the past. Due to the transitory nature of bat use, a negative result in one year does not guarantee that bats will not use that bridge/structure in subsequent years.
   
   *Yes*

**SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS**

- report.pdf [https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/project/Z4ZWFGZ6KZBZZI22UX4ZRQWCSJ/projectDocuments/17474106](https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/project/Z4ZWFGZ6KZBZZI22UX4ZRQWCSJ/projectDocuments/17474106)
29. Did the bridge assessment detect any signs of Indiana bats and/or NLEBs roosting in/under the bridge (bats, guano, etc.)\(^1\)?

\(^1\) If bridge assessment detects signs of any species of bats, coordination with the local FWS office is needed to identify potential threatened or endangered bat species. Additional studies may be undertaken to try to identify which bat species may be utilizing the bridge prior to allowing any work to proceed.

Note: There is a small chance bridge assessments for bat occupancy do not detect bats. Should a small number of bats be observed roosting on a bridge just prior to or during construction, such that take is likely to occur or does occur in the form of harassment, injury or death, the PBO requires the action agency to report the take. Report all unanticipated take within 2 working days of the incident to the USFWS. Construction activities may continue without delay provided the take is reported to the USFWS and is limited to 5 bats per project.

*No*

30. Will the bridge removal, replacement, and/or maintenance activities include installing new or replacing existing **permanent** lighting?

*No*

31. Does the project include the removal, replacement, and/or maintenance of any structure other than a bridge? (e.g., rest areas, offices, sheds, outbuildings, barns, parking garages, etc.)

*No*

32. Will the project involve the use of **temporary** lighting during the active season?

*No*

33. Will the project install new or replace existing **permanent** lighting?

*No*

34. Does the project include percussives or other activities (**not including tree removal/trimming or bridge/structure work**) that will increase noise levels above existing traffic/background levels?

*No*
35. Are all project activities that are **not associated with** habitat removal, tree removal/trimming, bridge and/or structure activities, temporary or permanent lighting, or use of percussives, limited to actions that **DO NOT** cause any additional stressors to the bat species?

Examples: lining roadways, unlighted signage, rail road crossing signals, signal lighting, and minor road repair such as asphalt fill of potholes, etc.

Yes

36. **Will the project raise the road profile above the tree canopy?**

No

37. Are the project activities that are not associated with habitat removal, tree removal/trimming, bridge and/or structure activities, temporary or permanent lighting, or use of percussives consistent with a No Effect determination in this key?

**Automatically answered**

Yes, other project activities are limited to actions that **DO NOT** cause any additional stressors to the bat species as described in the BA/BO

38. **Is the habitat removal portion of this project consistent with a Not Likely to Adversely Affect determination in this key?**

**Automatically answered**

Yes, because the tree removal/trimming that occurs outside of the active season occurs greater than 0.5 miles from the nearest hibernaculum, is less than 100 feet from the existing road/rail surface, includes clear demarcation of the trees that are to be removed, and does not alter documented roosts and/or surrounding summer habitat within 0.25 miles of a documented roost

39. **Is the habitat removal portion of this project consistent with a Not Likely to Adversely Affect determination in this key?**

**Automatically answered**

Yes, because the tree removal/trimming that occurs outside of the active season occurs greater than 0.5 miles from the nearest hibernaculum, is less than 100 feet from the existing road/rail surface, includes clear demarcation of the trees that are to be removed, and does not alter documented roosts and/or surrounding summer habitat within 0.25 miles of a documented roost

40. **Is the bridge removal, replacement, or maintenance activities portion of this project consistent with a No Effect determination in this key?**

**Automatically answered**

Yes, because the bridge has been assessed using the criteria documented in the BA and no signs of bats were detected
41. **General AMM 1**

Will the project ensure all operators, employees, and contractors working in areas of known or presumed bat habitat are aware of all FHWA/FRA/FTA (Transportation Agencies) environmental commitments, including all applicable Avoidance and Minimization Measures?

Yes

42. **Hibernacula AMM 1**

Will the project ensure that on-site personnel will use best management practices\(^1\), secondary containment measures, or other standard spill prevention and countermeasures to avoid impacts to possible hibernacula?

[1] Coordinate with the appropriate Service Field Office on recommended best management practices for karst in your state.

Yes

43. **Hibernacula AMM 1**

Will the project ensure that, where practicable, a 300 foot buffer will be employed to separate fueling areas and other major containment risk activities from caves, sinkholes, losing streams, and springs in karst topography?

Yes

44. **Tree Removal AMM 1**

Can all phases/aspects of the project (e.g., temporary work areas, alignments) be modified, to the extent practicable, to avoid tree removal\(^1\) in excess of what is required to implement the project safely?

Note: Tree Removal AMM 1 is a minimization measure, the full implementation of which may not always be practicable. Projects may still be NLAA as long as Tree Removal AMMs 2, 3, and 4 are implemented and LAA as long as Tree Removal AMMs 3, 5, 6, and 7 are implemented.

[1] The word “trees” as used in the AMMs refers to trees that are suitable habitat for each species within their range. See the USFWS’ current summer survey guidance for our latest definitions of suitable habitat.

Yes
45. **Tree Removal AMM 2**
Can *all* tree removal activities be restricted to when Indiana bats are not likely to be present (e.g., the inactive season)[1]?

[1] Coordinate with the local Service Field Office for appropriate dates.
Automatically answered
Yes

46. **Tree Removal AMM 2**
Can *all* tree removal activities be restricted to when Northern long-eared bats are not likely to be present (e.g., the inactive season)[1]?

[1] Coordinate with the local Service Field Office for appropriate dates.
Automatically answered
Yes

47. **Tree Removal AMM 3**
Can tree removal be limited to that specified in project plans and ensure that contractors understand clearing limits and how they are marked in the field (e.g., install bright colored flagging/fencing prior to any tree clearing to ensure contractors stay within clearing limits)?

Yes

48. **Tree Removal AMM 4**
Can the project avoid cutting down/removal of *all* (1) documented[1] Indiana bat or NLEB roosts[2] (that are still suitable for roosting), (2) trees within 0.25 miles of roosts, and (3) documented foraging habitat any time of year?

[1] The word documented means habitat where bats have actually been captured and/or tracked.

[2] Documented roosting or foraging habitat – for the purposes of this consultation, we are considering documented habitat as that where Indiana bats and/or NLEB have actually been captured and tracked using (1) radio telemetry to roosts; (2) radio telemetry biangulation/triangulation to estimate foraging areas; or (3) foraging areas with repeated use documented using acoustics. Documented roosting habitat is also considered as suitable summer habitat within 0.25 miles of documented roosts.)

Yes
49. **Lighting AMM 1**

   Will *all temporary* lighting used during the removal of suitable habitat and/or the removal/trimming of trees within suitable habitat be directed away from suitable habitat during the active season?

   Yes

**Project Questionnaire**

1. Have you made a No Effect determination for *all* other species indicated on the FWS IPaC generated species list?
   
   No

2. Have you made a May Affect determination for *any* other species on the FWS IPaC generated species list?
   
   Yes

3. How many acres[1] of trees are proposed for removal between 0-100 feet of the existing road/rail surface?

   [1] If described as number of trees, multiply by 0.09 to convert to acreage and enter that number.

   2.6

4. Please describe the proposed bridge work:

   *Replace the existing structure on existing alignment*

5. Please state the timing of all proposed bridge work:

   *the project is scheduled to let in November 2019*

6. Please enter the date of the bridge assessment:

   *03/21/2018*

**Avoidance And Minimization Measures (AMMs)**

This determination key result includes the committment to implement the following Avoidance and Minimization Measures (AMMs):

**GENERAL AMM 1**

Ensure all operators, employees, and contractors working in areas of known or presumed bat habitat are aware of all FHWA/FRA/FTA (Transportation Agencies) environmental commitments, including all applicable AMMs.
HIBERNACULA AMM 1

For projects located within karst areas, on-site personnel will use best management practices, secondary containment measures, or other standard spill prevention and countermeasures to avoid impacts to possible hibernacula. Where practicable, a 300 foot buffer will be employed to separate fueling areas and other major containment risk activities from caves, sinkholes, losing streams, and springs in karst topography.

LIGHTING AMM 1

Direct temporary lighting away from suitable habitat during the active season.

TREE REMOVAL AMM 1

Modify all phases/aspects of the project (e.g., temporary work areas, alignments) to avoid tree removal.

TREE REMOVAL AMM 2

Apply time of year restrictions for tree removal when bats are not likely to be present, or limit tree removal to 10 or fewer trees per project at any time of year within 100 feet of existing road/rail surface and outside of documented roosting/foraging habitat or travel corridors; visual emergence survey must be conducted with no bats observed.

TREE REMOVAL AMM 3

Ensure tree removal is limited to that specified in project plans and ensure that contractors understand clearing limits and how they are marked in the field (e.g., install bright colored flagging/fencing prior to any tree clearing to ensure contractors stay within clearing limits).

TREE REMOVAL AMM 4

Do not remove documented Indiana bat or NLEB roosts that are still suitable for roosting, or trees within 0.25 miles of roosts, or documented foraging habitat any time of year.
Determination Key Description: FHWA, FRA, FTA
Programmatic Consultation For Transportation Projects Affecting NLEB Or Indiana Bat

This key was last updated in IPaC on March 16, 2018. Keys are subject to periodic revision.

This decision key is intended for projects/activities funded or authorized by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), and/or Federal Transit Administration (FTA), which require consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) for the endangered Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis) and the threatened Northern long-eared bat (NLEB) (Myotis septentrionalis).

This decision key should only be used to verify project applicability with the Service’s February 5, 2018, FHWA, FRA, FTA Programmatic Biological Opinion for Transportation Projects. The programmatic biological opinion covers limited transportation activities that may affect either bat species, and addresses situations that are both likely and not likely to adversely affect either bat species. This decision key will assist in identifying the effect of a specific project/activity and applicability of the programmatic consultation. The programmatic biological opinion is not intended to cover all types of transportation actions. Activities outside the scope of the programmatic biological opinion, or that may affect ESA-listed species other than the Indiana bat or NLEB, or any designated critical habitat, may require additional ESA Section 7 consultation.
### ArDOT ENVIRONMENTAL VERIFICATION CHECKLIST
FOR CONSIDERATION OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS

**ArDOT Job Number** BR0406  **FAP Number** STPB-0004(78)

**Job Title** Osage Creek Str. & Apprs. No. 2 (S)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Minimal</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Comments-required for each item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No MSAT impacts anticipated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Resources</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SHPO clearance attached</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No economic impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endangered Species</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NLAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Justice/Title VI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No protected populations in project area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and Wildlife</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Temporary impacts during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floodplains</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Floodplain SP required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Service Property</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None in project area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Materials/Landfills</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No sites in project area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Will not be impacted by project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migratory Birds</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Migratory Bird SP will be added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigation/Coast Guard</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None in project area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Levels</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No increases due to project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime Farmland</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 acres impacted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protected Waters</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None in project area, Illinois River close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Recreation Lands</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None in project area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Water Supply/WHPA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wellhead Protection SP added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocatees</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No relocations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4(f)/6(f)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4(f)/6(f) resources not present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No impacts to the social environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground Storage Tanks</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No USTs in project area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No changes to visual environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streams</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Temporary impacts during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Temporary impacts during construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None in project area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife Refuges</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None in project area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section 401 Water Quality Certification Required?** Yes

**Short-term Activity Authorization Required?** Yes

**Section 404 Permit Required?** Yes  **Type** NW-14

Remarks:

__________________________  ___________________________
Signature of Evaluator  Date  October 8, 2019
STATE AID DESIGN REQUEST

Job Number _BR0406___ FAP Number _STPB-0004(78)_______ County__ Benton___
Job Name _Osage Creek Str. & Apprs. No. 2 (S) ___________________
Design Engineer Phillip Ammons ___________ Environmental Staff __TT/LS/MM________
Brief Project Description: Widening apprs. & bridge replacement on existing alignment.

A. Existing Conditions:
   1. roadway Width: Metric _________ English ______ Approx. 26’.
   2. Shoulder Width: Metric _________ English ______ 4’
   3. Number of Lanes and Width: Metric _________ English ______ 2 - 10’
   4. Existing Right-of-Way: Metric _________ English ______ Approx. 90’

B. Proposed Improvements:
   1. Roadway Width: Metric _________ English ______ 33’ Max.
   2. Shoulder Width: Metric _________ English ______ 5’
   3. Number of Lanes and Width: Metric _________ English ______ 2 – 11’
   4. Average Right-of-Way: Metric _________ English ______ Approx. 165’

If bridge(s) will be replaced by culverts give dimensions: N/A

C. Construction Information:
   If detour: Where __County Roads 230 and 9 ___ Length: English ______

D. Design Data:
   2018: ADT: 420 _______ 2038: ADT: 550 _______ Trucks: 4.0 _____ %
   Design Speed: 40 m.p.h

E. Approximate total length of project: _______ kilometer(s) _____ 0.34 _____ mile(s)

F. Justification for proposed improvements: Bridge Replacement

G. Total Relocatees: ____0______ Residences: ___________ Businesses: _________

H. Have you coordinated with any of the following: (Provide name and date.)
   County Officials __________ Benton County Judge __________________________
   State Agency ___________________________________________________________
   Federal Agency _________________________________________________________
April 10, 2020

Regulatory Division

NATIONWIDE PERMIT NO. SWL 2020-00089

Mr. John Fleming
Division Head, Environmental Division
Arkansas Department of Transportation
PO Box 2261
Little Rock, Arkansas  72203-2261

Dear Mr. Fleming:

Please refer to your recent request concerning Department of the Army permit requirements pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. You requested authorization for the placement of dredged and fill material in waters of the United States associated with replacing the bridge over Osage Creek and upgrading the approaches. The existing bridge is 452-feet-long and 25-feet-wide. The existing roadway consists of two 10-foot-wide lanes with 4-foot-wide unpaved shoulders. Proposed improvements include two 11-foot-wide lanes with 5-foot-wide shoulders, and a new bridge that is 502-feet-long and 28-feet-wide. The new bridge will be constructed on existing location and require the discharge of approximately 225 cubic yards of rock for a temporary work road. Permanent impacts to Osage Creek will be less than 300 linear feet and 0.1 acres. Total length of the project is 0.35 miles and it will require the acquisition of 4.4 acres of additional right-of-way. ArDOT determined that the project may affect but is not likely to adversely affect the Northern Long-eared Bat (Myotis septentrionalis), Indiana Bat (Myotis sodalis), Ozark Big-eared Bat (Corynorhinus townsendii ingens), Gray Bat (Myotis grisescens), Neosho Mucket (Lampsilis rafinesqueana) and Ozark Cavefish (Amblyopsis rosae), with the inclusion of the ArDOT Special Provisions. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service concurred with this determination. The project is located within one mile of the Illinois River and ArDOT did receive individual Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) from the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ). There are no cultural resources impacts. The Federal Highway Administration approved the project as a Tier 3 Categorical Exclusion on October 15, 2019. The project is located on Old Highway 68, between Tontitown and Siloam Springs, in section 4, T. 17 N., R. 32 W., Benton County, Arkansas. A vicinity map, project location map, bridge drawing and temporary work road drawing are enclosed.

The proposed activities are authorized by Department of the Army Nationwide Permit (NWP) No. 14 (copy enclosed), provided that the General Conditions therein and the Special Conditions below are met. For your convenience, we have highlighted the General Conditions of the NWP that are the most pertinent to your project. You should become familiar with the
conditions and maintain a copy of the permit at the worksite for ready reference. If changes are proposed in the design or location of the project, you should submit revised plans to this office for approval before construction of the change begins.

Special Conditions:

1. ArDOT agrees to prohibit the clearing of trees between March 15 and November 14 or within 0.5 miles of any Indiana Bat (IBAT) hibernaculum.

2. ArDOT agrees to prohibit the clearing of trees within 150 feet of any known Northern Long-eared Bat (NLEB) occupied maternity roost tree during the pup rearing season (June 1 through July 31) or within 0.25 miles of any NLEB hibernaculum.

3. ArDOT agrees to coordinate all offsite clearing of trees with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

4. Should any cave openings be exposed during excavation activities authorized by this permit, ArDOT agrees to stop work immediately and initiate the Federal and State coordination necessary to determine if threatened or endangered species are present.

Please pay particular attention to General Condition No. 12 which stipulates that appropriate erosion and siltation controls be used during construction and all exposed soil be permanently stabilized. Erosion control measures must be implemented before, during and after construction.

For your information, we have enclosed a copy of the ADEQ Section 401 WQC conditions, which are conditions of your permit. If you have any questions concerning compliance with the conditions of the 401 certification, you should contact Mr. Jim Wise or Ms. Melanie Treat at the ADEQ, Water Division, 5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118, telephone (501) 682-0040.

Also, in order to fully comply with the conditions of the NWP, you must submit the enclosed compliance certification within 30 days of completion of the project. This is required pursuant to General Condition No. 30 of the permit.

The NWP determination will be valid until March 18, 2022. If NWP No. 14 is modified, suspended, or revoked during this period, your project may not be authorized unless you have begun or are under contract to begin the project. If work has started or the work is under contract, you would then have twelve (12) months to complete the work.

Your cooperation in the Regulatory Program is appreciated. If you have any additional questions about this permit or any of its provisions, please contact Mr. Johnny McLean at (501)
324-5295 and refer to Permit No. SWL 2020-00089, ArDOT-Osage Creek Structure and Approaches Between Tontitown and Siloam Springs (Project No. BR0406).

Sincerely,

Lisa Boyle
Chief, Regulatory Evaluation Branch

Enclosures

Copy Furnished:
Ms. Melanie Treat, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, w/cy encls.
Mr. Lindsey Lewis, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, w/cy encls.
Regulatory Enforcement, w/cy encls.
PERMITTEE COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION

PERMIT NO.: SWL 2020-00089

NWP/S NO.: 14

PERMITTEE NAME: ArDOT-Osage Creek Structure and Approaches Between Tontitown and Siloam Springs (Project No. BR0406)

DATE OF ISSUANCE: ________

PROJECT MANAGER: Johnny McLean

Upon completion of the activity authorized by this permit and any mitigation required by the permit, sign this certification and return it to the following address:

US Army Corps of Engineers, Little Rock
ATTENTION: CESWL-RD
PO Box 867
Little Rock, Arkansas 72203-0867

Please note that your permitted activity is subject to a compliance inspection by a US Army Corps of Engineers representative. If you fail to comply with this permit, you are subject to permit suspension, modification, or revocation.

I hereby certify that the work authorized by the above referenced permit has been completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the said permit, and required mitigation was completed in accordance with the permit conditions.

DATE WORK COMPLETED: __________________

__________________________            __________________
SIGNATURE OF PERMITTEE                            DATE
Notes:
The temporary fill to construct the work road(s) shown has been permitted to facilitate construction of the project. The Contractor shall determine and provide temporary culverts of a size and number that will be sufficient to maintain low stream flows and assist passage of aquatic life.

The Contractor may submit an alternative work road plan for approval by the Engineer. The proposed modifications must be in compliance with Section 404 Permit and additional review time by the Engineer within ten (10) business days. A determination will be made by the Engineer within ten (10) business days concerning the necessity or practicability of the request. A modification of the Section 404 Permit and additional review time by the Engineer may be required if the alternative work road(s) increases the volume of temporary fill that has been permitted for the project. The contract time will not be extended for the time required to consider or approve any alternative work road(s) submittals.

Any additional work or expenses incurred in preparing, submitting, or completing the alternative work road plan shall be at no cost to the Department. See Job SP 110.05(c) in the Standard Specifications for additional information. The Contractor is responsible for maintenance of the work road(s) during the contract period.

APPROXIMATE QUANTITIES
(Below Elevation 975.0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Road Fill Area</th>
<th>2550 Sq. Ft.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work Road Fill Volume</td>
<td>220 CY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCEPTUAL WORK PLAN
FOR TEMPORARY FILL
BRIDGE OVER OSAGE CREEK
JOB BR0406